UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,354	07/25/2006	Seppo Katajamaki	2835-0156PUS1	1207	
2292 7590 08/18/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER		
			TAWFIK, SAMEH		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/553,354	KATAJAMAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>	ne 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	· 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-10 and 17-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.	·— · · · · — ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·— ·—	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🔲 Otilet						

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the glue" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Focke (U.S. Patent No. 6,199,688).

Focke discloses the claimed method of manufacturing a hinge lid box with the step of providing a glued collar (Figs. 1, 2, and 4-6; via fastening surface/glue portion 43 and 44; 51 and 52); the collar is printed and/or coated (column 3, lines 65-67).

Focke does not disclose applying the glue to the collar as in lines across the collar surface up to three glue lines.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,354 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

However, the examiner takes an official notice that such use of glue lines on blank is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Focke's fastening surface/glue portion of the collar, by the use of glue lines instead, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to improve gluing of the blank.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Focke does not disclose all claimed feature, therefore, can not meet the 102 rejection as been disclosed in the previous action. The examiner agrees with applicants that the previous rejection of the 102 is un-proper, and the headings of the 102 rejection should have been replaced by a 103 rejection. Note that the body of the previous 102 rejection is the same as the present 103 rejection and as the only change is just the heading of the rejection, therefore, this action has been made final.

Applicants further argue that Focke does not produce a hinge lid box having the desirable attributes of boxes produce3d using the claimed method nor disclose the use of applied glue across the whole area of the covered part of the collar blank. The examiner believes that Focke clearly disclosing a method of making a hinge lid box as been disclosed and described above on the action. The examiner agrees that Focke does not disclose the use of glue lines across the collar, but as been disclosed in the current action that such use of glue lines across a width of blank is old and well known and would be obvious to replace Focke's fastening surfaces 51 and

Art Unit: 3721

52 by using such glue lines, as a matter of engineering design choice, in order to improve the gluing step.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,354 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721